

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/534,919	04/14/2006	Rajinder Singh	03-004-F	1796		
20306 MCDONNELI	7590 05/22/200 L BOEHNEN HULBER	EXAM	EXAMINER			
300 S. WACKER DRIVE			NOLAN, JASO	NOLAN, JASON MICHAEL		
32ND FLOOR CHICAGO, IL		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
,			1626			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			05/22/2009	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/534,919	SINGH ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JASON NOLAN	1626		

	JASON NOLAN	1626						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 12 May 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	THE REPLY FILED 12 May 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of the pilication, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places it opplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time enrichs:							
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A 								
 ine period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire it Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension set have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extensions for leaunder 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set for thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lates than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any sermed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp								
	filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since							
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	ithin the time period set forth in 37	CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); 								
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		to the second second term of						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)								
Description of the state o								
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	will not be entered, or b) wil	be entered and an ex	xplanation of					
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below or appended.							
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:								
/JMN/ /Rehecca L Anderson/								
/JIVIN/	/Rebecca L Anderson/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1626						
	i-filliary Examiner, Art O	III. 1020						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The Examiner made the Office Action mailed 3/13/09 Final and the finality was not improper for the following reason. Applicant submitted amendments on 12/23/2009 be at least Claim 1 that created a sugenus of the formarkush-type formula. Once a Markush-type claim has been rejected, the prior art search will not be extended unnecessarily to cover all nonelected species. Upon amendment, the Markush-type claim was further examined for non-elected specie upon entry of Applicant's amendments that created said subgenus. A non-elected specie was found and the rejection was made and the Office Action was Final, as necessatated by Applicant's amendments to create the subgenus. Applicant's amendments to create the subgenus of the Markush-type formula that require further search and examination consideration. For this reason, Examiner suggests that Applicant file an RCE to further the prosecution of this application.

The amendment to Claim 1 has limited R1 to avoid the compound from the Donia reference. However, with respect to the compound cited from Wang et al. (WO 2000032598), the Examiner points out that Claim 6 includes R1 = allyl, which is the substituent for the Wang compound. The Wang reference also contains other compounds that may anticipate the Markush-type formula in Claim 1. Applicant's amendment to "B" in Claim 1 to included =C- is unnecessary, as the definition at the bottom of states that R3 and B may form an alkenyl group.